

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

RUBEN PAZ GOMEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

YANISLEIDY REYES GONZALEZ,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:24-cv-5645-KKE

ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM A
DEADLINE

THIS MATTER came before this Court on Plaintiff Ruben Paz Gomez and Defendant Yanisleidy Reyes Gonzalez' Stipulated Motion for Relief from a Deadline ("Motion"), and being fully advised on the matter, the Court hereby GRANTS the Motion (Dkt. No. 29) as follows:

1. The Parties are relieved of the deadline to file a joint proposed scheduling order on November 26, 2024 pursuant to the Court's Order Appointing Counsel and Order for Joint Proposed Scheduling Order ("Order"). Dkt. 27.
2. The deadline to file a joint proposed scheduling order is reset to December 3, 2024.
3. The joint proposed scheduling order will be used to set a schedule for the prompt resolution of the petition. It must contain the parties' views, proposals, and agreements, by corresponding paragraph letters (A, B, etc.), on the following topics:

- 1 A. Whether and to what extent the parties anticipate engaging in discovery and
- 2 the date by which discovery will be completed.
- 3 B. Whether the parties intend pursue resolution through mediation and the date by
- 4 which any mediation will occur.
- 5 C. The total number of hours the parties anticipate needing for the evidentiary
- 6 hearing.
- 7 D. The number of witnesses each party intends to have testify at the hearing and
- 8 confirmation that all witnesses will be able and available to participate
- 9 remotely via Zoom; and
- 10 E. Whether either party or any party's witnesses will require the services of an
- 11 interpreter and if so, in what language.
- 12 F. Any suggestions for shortening or simplifying the case.
- 13 G. Any dates on which the parties or counsel may have conflicts or other
- 14 complications to be considered in setting a hearing date.
- 15 H. The date the petition will be ready for an evidentiary hearing on the merits and
- 16 all related due dates (disclosure of witnesses and exhibits, prehearing
- 17 statements, motions in limine, stipulations, etc.).
- 18 4. If the parties are unable to agree on any part of the Proposed Order, they may answer
- 19 in separate paragraphs. No separate proposals are to be filed.
- 20 5. If the parties wish to have a status conference with the Court at any time during the
- 21 pendency of this action, they should notify Diyana Staples, Courtroom Deputy, at
- 22 Diyana_Staples@wawd.uscourts.gov.
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2 DATED this 25th day of November, 2024.

3
4 

5
6 Kymberly K. Evanson
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26